

ILL-POSEDNESS FOR THE CAUCHY PROBLEM FOR A FAMILY OF TWO-DIMENSIONAL BENJAMIN-ONO EQUATION

JULIO LIZARAZO

Department of Mathematics, Universidad Nacional de Colombia, Bogota D.C., Colombia

ABSTRACT

In this paper going to be showing for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$, when $1 \leq \alpha < 2$, the ill-posedness for the Cauchy problem 1.

$$\begin{cases} u_t + D_x^\alpha u_x + \mathcal{H}_x u_{yy} + uu_x = 0, & (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2, \quad t \geq 0, \\ u(x, y, 0) = u_0(x, y), \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where \mathcal{H}_x is the Hilbert transform in the first variable, and $1 \leq \alpha \leq 2$.

KEYWORDS: *Phrases & Math Subject Classification: Ill-posedness, Bidimensional, Benjamin-Ono Equation, Picard Scheme, 35A01, 35A02, 35A22, 35A23 & 35B30*

Received: May 27, 2018; **Accepted:** Jun 17, 2018; **Published:** Jul 14, 2018; **Paper Id.:** IJMCARAUG20181

1. INTRODUCTION

Is known that The Picard iterative scheme cannot be used to prove the local well-posedness (LWP) for the initial value problem (IVP) with the Benjamin-Ono equation 2.

$$\begin{cases} u_t + \mathcal{H}u_{xx} + uu_x = 0, & x, t \in \mathbb{R} \\ u(0, x) = u_0. \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

Also, when the equation has a general nonlinear part, in [8] using the Picard scheme the authors proved the LWP for the IVP 3

$$\begin{cases} u_t + \mathcal{H}u_{xx} + u^k u_x = 0, & x, t \in \mathbb{R} \\ u(0, x) = u_0. \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

Using the ideas exposed in [8] Kenig-Martel and Robiano in [7] proved the LWP for

$$\begin{cases} u_t + D_x^\alpha u_x + u^k u_x = 0, & x, t \in \mathbb{R} \\ u(0, x) = u_0. \end{cases} \quad \text{with } 1 \leq \alpha \leq 2 \quad (4)$$

It is very interesting that cannot be used the same argument for the bidimensional extension 1, because in this paper gonna be show a bounded sequence of functions in H^∞ over cannot be applied the Picard Scheme.

In [2] shows LWP using energy estimates for 1 when $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ and prove the ill-posedness for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$. For LWP of 1 the reader can see [3] and [11]

2. ILLPOSEDNESS

Using the ideas in [10], and [2] here prove that the IVP 1 cannot be solved by a Picard iterative scheme based on the Duhamel's formula. In other words, if

$$u(t) = U_\alpha(t)\phi - \int_0^t U_\alpha(t-t')[u(t')u_x(t')]dt' \quad (5)$$

That is the integral equation corresponding to the IVP 1 with initial datum ϕ , in wich

$$(U_\alpha(t)\phi)^\wedge(\xi, \eta) = e^{-it(|\xi|^\alpha \xi + s \operatorname{sgn}(\xi)\eta^2)} \hat{\phi}(\xi, \eta), \quad (6)$$

the following assertion holds.

Theorem 1 *Let $\alpha \in [1, 2)$, $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $T > 0$. Then, there does not exist a space X_T continuously embedded in $C([0, T]; H^s(\mathbb{R}^2))$ such that there exist $C > 0$ with*

$$\|U_\alpha(t)\phi\|_{X_T} \leq C \|\phi\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^2)} \quad (7)$$

and

$$\left\| \int_0^t U_\alpha(t-t')(u(t')u_x(t')) dt' \right\|_{X_T} \leq C \|u\|_{X_T}^2, \quad u \in X_T \quad (8)$$

Let us observe that the conditions 7 and 8 are necessary to apply the Picard iterative method scheme in the integral equation 5

Proof. Reasoning as in [10] can be supposed there exist a space X_T such that 7 and 8 holds. Let us define in 8 $u := U_\alpha(t)\phi$. Then

$$\left\| \int_0^t U_\alpha(t-t')[(U_\alpha(t')\phi)(U_\alpha(t')\phi_x)] dt' \right\|_{X_T} \leq C \|U_\alpha(t)\phi\|_{X_T}^2$$

In this way, using 7 and the fact that $X_T \hookrightarrow C([0, T]; H^s(\mathbb{R}^2))$, can be concluded for each $t \in [0, T]$

$$\left\| \int_0^t U_\alpha(t-t')[(U_\alpha(t')\phi)(U_\alpha(t')\phi_x)] dt' \right\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^2)} \lesssim \|U_\alpha(t)\phi\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2 \quad (9)$$

Will show 9 is not true, exhibiting a bounded sequence $\{\phi_N\}_{N \in \mathbb{N}} \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^2)$, such that for $t \in [T/2, T]$,

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \int_0^t U_\alpha(t-t')[(U_\alpha(t')\phi_N)(U_\alpha(t')(\phi_N)_x)] dt' \right\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^2)} = \infty$$

Let us take $\phi \equiv \phi_N$ defined through the Fourier transform as follows

$$\hat{\phi}(\xi, \eta) = \beta^{\frac{1+\delta}{2}} \chi_{I_1}(\xi, \eta) + \beta^{\frac{1+\delta}{2}} N^{-s} \chi_{I_2}(\xi, \eta), \\ N \gg 1, \quad 0 < \beta \ll 1, \quad \delta > 0, \quad \delta = \delta(\alpha)$$

Where $I_1 = [\beta/2, \beta] \times [0, \beta^\delta]$ and $I_2 = [N, N + \beta] \times [0, \beta^\delta]$, β to be precise later, and χ_A denotes the characteristic function of the set A . Let us note that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (1 + \xi^2 + \eta^2)^s |\hat{\phi}(\xi, \eta)|^2 d\xi d\eta \\ &= \int_{I_1} (1 + \xi^2 + \eta^2)^s \beta^{-(1+\delta)} d\xi d\eta + \\ &\quad + \int_{I_2} (1 + \xi^2 + \eta^2)^s \beta^{-(1+\delta)} N^{-2s} d\xi d\eta \sim \\ &\sim \int_{\beta/2}^\beta \int_0^{\beta^\delta} \beta^{-(1+\delta)} d\xi d\eta + \int_N^{N+\beta} \int_0^{\beta^\delta} N^{2s} \beta^{-(1+\delta)} N^{-2s} d\xi d\eta \sim C \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Moreover, is defined $\rho(\xi, \eta) = -(|\xi|^\alpha \xi + \operatorname{sgn}(\xi) \eta^2)$, $\theta(\xi, \eta, \xi_1, \eta_1) = \rho(\xi_1, \eta_1) + \rho(\xi - \xi_1, \eta - \eta_1) - \rho(\xi, \eta)$, and $\psi(\xi, \eta, \xi_1, \eta_1) = \hat{\phi}(\xi, \eta) \hat{\phi}(\xi - \xi_1, \eta - \eta_1)$. Then it can be easily seen that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^t U_\alpha(t-t') [(U_\alpha(t')\phi)(U_\alpha(t')\phi_x)] dt' = \\ & = C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{i(x\xi+y\eta)} e^{it\rho(\xi,\eta)} \xi \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \psi(\xi, \eta, \xi_1, \eta_2) \frac{e^{it\theta(\xi,\eta,\xi_1,\eta_2)} - 1}{\theta(\xi,\eta,\xi_1,\eta_2)} d\xi_1 \eta_1 \right] d\xi \eta \end{aligned}$$

Considering the following four sets

$$I_{ij}(\xi, \eta) = \{(\xi_1, \eta_1) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid (\xi_1, \eta_1) \in I_i, (\xi - \xi_1, \eta - \eta_1) \in I_j\}, \quad i, j \in \{1, 2\} \quad (11)$$

To obtain $\psi(\xi, \eta, \xi_1, \eta_1) \neq 0$, it is required $(\xi_1, \eta_1) \in I_{ij}(\xi, \eta)$ for someone of this four sets. Using the notation $\theta \equiv \theta(\xi, \eta, \xi_1, \eta_1)$, holds that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^t U_\alpha(t-t') [(U_\alpha(t')\phi)(U_\alpha(t')\phi_x)] dt' = \\ & = C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{i(x\xi+y\eta)} e^{it\rho(\xi,\eta)} \xi \left[\int_{A_{11}(\xi,\eta)} \beta^{-(1+\delta)} \frac{e^{it\theta}-1}{\theta} d\xi_1 \eta_1 + \right. \\ & \quad + \int_{A_{22}(\xi,\eta)} \beta^{-(1+\delta)} N^{-2s} \frac{e^{it\theta}-1}{\theta} d\xi_1 \eta_1 + \\ & \quad \left. + \int_{A_{12} \cup A_{21}(\xi,\eta)} \beta^{-(1+\delta)} N^{-s} \frac{e^{it\theta}-1}{\theta} d\xi_1 \eta_1 \right] d\xi \eta \end{aligned}$$

Define

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(x, y, t) &:= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{C\xi e^{i(x\xi+y\eta)} e^{it\rho(\xi,\eta)}}{\beta^{(1+\delta)}} \left[\int_{A_{11}(\xi,\eta)} \frac{e^{it\theta}-1}{\theta} d\xi_1 \eta_1 \right] d\xi \eta \\ f_2(x, y, t) &:= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{C\xi e^{i(x\xi+y\eta)} e^{it\rho(\xi,\eta)}}{\beta^{(1+\delta)} N^{2s}} \left[\int_{A_{22}(\xi,\eta)} \frac{e^{it\theta}-1}{\theta} d\xi_1 \eta_1 \right] d\xi \eta \\ f_3(x, y, t) &:= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{C\xi e^{i(x\xi+y\eta)} e^{it\rho(\xi,\eta)}}{\beta^{(1+\delta)} N^s} \left[\int_{A_{12} \cup A_{21}(\xi,\eta)} \frac{e^{it\theta}-1}{\theta} d\xi_1 \eta_1 \right] d\xi \eta \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ \int_0^t U_\alpha(t-t') [(U_\alpha(t')\phi)(U_\alpha(t')\phi_x)] dt' \right\} (x, y) = \\ & = f_1(x, y, t) + f_2(x, y, t) + f_3(x, y, t) \end{aligned}$$

and will can see that

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{supp}(\hat{f}_1) &\subset [\beta, 2\beta] \times [0, 2\beta^\delta] \\ \operatorname{supp}(\hat{f}_2) &\subset [2N, 2N + 2\beta] \times [0, 2\beta^\delta] \\ \operatorname{supp}(\hat{f}_3) &\subset \left[N + \frac{\beta}{2}, N + 2\beta\right] \times [0, 2\beta^\delta] \end{aligned}$$

The supports are mutually disjoint. We have that

$$\left\| \int_0^t U_\alpha(t-t') [(U_\alpha(t')\phi)(U_\alpha(t')\phi_x)] dt' \right\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^2)} \geq \|f_i(\cdot, \cdot, t)\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^2)} \quad (13)$$

Making the change of variables $\xi_2 := \xi - \xi_1$ and $\eta_2 := \eta - \eta_1$ and taking into account that $\theta(\xi, \eta, \xi_2, \eta_2) = \theta(\xi, \eta, \xi_2, \eta_2)$, it is easy to see that

$$\int_{A_{21}(\xi,\eta)} \frac{e^{it\theta(\xi,\eta,\xi_1,\eta_1)} - 1}{\theta(\xi,\eta,\xi_1,\eta_1)} d\xi_1 \eta_1 = \int_{A_{12}(\xi,\eta)} \frac{e^{it\theta(\xi,\eta,\xi_2,\eta_2)} - 1}{\theta(\xi,\eta,\xi_2,\eta_2)} d\xi_2 \eta_2$$

Therefore,

$$f_3(x, y, t) = \frac{2C}{\beta^{(1+\delta)N^s}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \xi e^{i(x\xi+y\eta)} e^{it\rho(\xi,\eta)} \left[\int_{A_{12}(\xi,\eta)} \frac{e^{it\theta}-1}{\theta} d\xi_1 \eta_1 \right] d\xi \eta$$

and,

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_3(\cdot, \cdot, t)\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2 &= \\ &= \int_{\text{supp}(\widehat{f_3})} (1 + \xi^2 + \eta^2)^s \frac{C\xi^2}{\beta^{2(1+\delta)N^{2s}}} \left| \int_{A_{12}(\xi,\eta)} \frac{e^{it\theta}-1}{\theta} d\xi_1 \eta_1 \right|^2 d\xi \eta \end{aligned}$$

taking account the support of $\widehat{f_3}$, i.e. $(\xi, \eta) \in \left[N + \frac{\beta}{2}, N + 2\beta\right] \times [0, 2\beta^\delta]$

$$\|f_3(\cdot, \cdot, t)\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2 \sim \frac{CN^{2s}N^2}{\beta^{2(1+\delta)N^{2s}}} \int_{\text{supp}(\widehat{f_3})} \left| \int_{A_{12}(\xi,\eta)} \frac{e^{it\theta}-1}{\theta} d\xi_1 \eta_1 \right|^2 d\xi \eta$$

take β such a way that $\beta N^\alpha = N^{-\varepsilon}$, with $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(\xi, \eta, \xi_1, \eta_1) &= \rho(\xi_1, \eta_1) + \rho(\xi - \xi_1, \eta - \eta_1) - \rho(\xi, \eta) \\ &= -\xi_1^{\alpha+1} - (\xi - \xi_1)^{\alpha+1} + \xi^{\alpha+1} + 2\eta_1(\eta - \eta_1) \\ &= -\xi_1^{\alpha+1} - [\xi^{\alpha+1} - (\alpha+1)\xi^\alpha \xi_1 + \\ &\quad + o(\xi_1)] + \xi^{\alpha+1} + 2\eta_1(\eta - \eta_1) \\ &\quad \left(\text{where } \lim_{\xi_1 \rightarrow 0} \frac{o(\xi_1)}{\xi_1} = 0 \right) \\ &= (\alpha+1)\xi^\alpha \xi_1 - \xi_1^{\alpha+1} - o(\xi_1) + 2\eta_1(\eta - \eta_1) \\ &= \xi_1 \left[(\alpha+1)\xi^\alpha - \xi_1^\alpha - \frac{o(\xi_1)}{\xi_1} \right] + 2\eta_1(\eta - \eta_1) \\ &\sim \beta N^\alpha, \end{aligned}$$

Taking into account that $\frac{1-\cos(\gamma)}{\gamma} \sim \gamma$ for $0 < \gamma \ll 1$, then if $[T/2, T]$, follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_3(\cdot, \cdot, t)\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^2)} &\leq Ct^2 \frac{N^2}{\beta^{2(1+\delta)}} \int_{\text{supp}(\widehat{f_3})} \left| \text{Re} \int_{A_{12}(\xi,\eta)} \frac{e^{it\theta}-1}{t\theta} d\xi_1 \eta_1 \right|^2 d\xi \eta \\ &\leq \frac{Ct^2 N^2}{\beta^{2(1+\delta)}} \int_{\text{supp}(\widehat{f_3})} \left| \int_{A_{12}(\xi,\eta)} \frac{1-\cos(t\theta)}{t\theta} d\xi_1 \eta_1 \right|^2 d\xi \eta \\ &\leq \frac{CT^2 N^2}{\beta^{2(1+\delta)}} \int_{\text{supp}(\widehat{f_3})} \beta^2 N^{2\alpha} \left| \int_{A_{12}(\xi,\eta)} d\xi_1 \eta_1 \right|^2 d\xi \eta. \\ &\leq \frac{CT^2 N^2}{\beta^{2(1+\delta)}} \beta^2 N^{2\alpha} (\beta^{1+\delta})^3 = N^{2+2\alpha} \beta^{2+(1+\delta)} = \\ &= N^{2+2\alpha} (N^{-\alpha-\varepsilon})^{(3+\delta)} \\ &= N^{2-(1+\delta)\alpha-(3+\delta)\varepsilon} \rightarrow \infty, \quad \text{if } \alpha < \frac{2}{1+\delta} \end{aligned}$$

As we can see, if $\delta \rightarrow 0$, then α would be near to 2

Theorem 2 Fix $s \in \mathbb{R}$. Then there does not exist a $T > 0$, such that 1 admits a unique local solution defined on $[0, T]$ and such that the flow map data-solution

$$\phi \rightarrow u(t), \quad t \in [0, T]$$

for 1 is C^2 differentiable at zero from $H^s(\mathbb{R}^2)$ to $H^s(\mathbb{R}^2)$

Proof. Consider the Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} u_t + D^\alpha u_x + \mathcal{H}u_{yy} + uu_x = 0, \\ u(x, y, 0) = \gamma\phi(x, y), \quad \gamma \ll 1, \quad \phi \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^2) \end{cases} \quad (14)$$

Suppose that $u_\gamma(x, y, t)$ is a local solution of 14 and that the flow map is C^2 at the origin from $H^s(\mathbb{R}^2)$ to $H^s(\mathbb{R}^2)$.

Then

$$\left. \frac{\partial u_\gamma}{\partial \gamma^2} \right|_{\gamma=0} = -2 \int_0^t U_\alpha(t-t') [(U_\alpha(t')\phi)(U_\alpha(t')\phi_x)] dt'$$

The assumption of C^2 regularity yields

$$\left\| \int_0^t U_\alpha(t-t') [(U_\alpha(t')\phi)(U_\alpha(t')\phi_x)] dt' \right\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^2)} \lesssim \|U_\alpha(t)\phi\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2 \quad (15)$$

But the above estimate is 9, which has been shown to fail.

3. CONCLUSIONS

- Can't be possible to use the Picard Scheme to prove the local well posedness for the initial value problem (1)
- The map datum-solution is not at the origin, this result is known as ill-posedness

REFERENCES

1. Jaime Angulo, Márcia Scialom, and Carlos Banquet. *The regularized Benjamin-Ono and BBM equations: well-posedness and nonlinear stability*. *J. Differential Equations*, 250(11):4011–4036, 2011.
2. Jiménez J. Mejía J. Bustamante, E. *The cauchy problem for a family of two-dimensional fractional benjamin-ono*. Preprint, 2017. Arxiv, math. AP, 1710.08380v2.
3. Omar Duque. *Sobre una versión bidimensional de la ecuación Benjamin-Ono generalizada*. PhD thesis, Universidad Nacional de Colombia, 2014.
4. Amin Esfahani and Ademir Pastor. *Ill-posedness results for the (generalized) Benjamin-Ono-Zakharov-Kuznetsov equation*. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 139(3):943–956, 2011.
5. El-Giar, O. S. A. M. A., And A. M. El-Feky. "Two Dimensional Full Multigrid Method For Solving Stokes Equation In A Non-Staggered Grid Using Fas Scheme."
6. Germán Fonseca and Gustavo Ponce. *The IVP for the Benjamin-Ono equation in weighted Sobolev spaces*. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 260(2):436–459, 2011.
7. Germán Fonseca, Guillermo Rodríguez-Blanco, and Wilson Sandoval. *Well-posedness and ill-posedness results for the regularized Benjamin-Ono equation in weighted Sobolev spaces*. Preprint, 2013. Arxiv, math.AP, 1304.6454.
8. Jaipal, Rakesh C., And Vn Kala. "A Numerical And Analytical Approach For Solving Nonlinear Water Hammer Equations."
9. C. E. Kenig, Y. Martel, and L. Robbiano. *Local well-posedness and blow-up in the energy space for a class of L^2 critical dispersion generalized Benjamin-Ono equations*. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire*, 28(6):853–887, 2011.
10. Khambra, Krishna, and Nirmal Yadav. "Effect of Tightness factors on dimensional properties of knitted fabric." *Man-Made Textiles in India* 52.2 (2009).
11. Carlos E. Kenig, Gustavo Ponce, and Luis Vega. *On the generalized Benjamin-Ono equation*. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 342(1):155–172, 1994.

12. Carlos E. Kenig, Gustavo Ponce, and Luis Vega. *On the ill-posedness of some canonical dispersive equations*. *Duke Math. J.*, 106(3):617–633, 2001.
13. L. Molinet, J. C. Saut, and N. Tzvetkov. *Ill-posedness issues for the Benjamin-Ono and related equations*. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 33(4):982–988 (electronic), 2001.
14. Mohammed, Zerf, and Bengoua Ali. "Effect Dimensional of Delimiters on Implement Ation of Speed, Balance and the Agility in Dribbling among Soccer (Under 15 Year)." *International Journal of Educational Science and Research (IJESR)* (2015): 67-72.
15. Fabián Sánchez Salazar. *El problema de Cauchy asociado a una ecuación del tipo rBO-ZK*. PhD thesis, Universidad Nacional de Colombia, Junio 2015.